

Rocketship Redwood City Prep (RRWC)
School Accountability Report Card
Reported Using Data from the 2015-16 School Year
Published During 2016-17

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2016-17)

School Contact Information	
School Name	Rocketship Redwood City Prep (RRWC)
Street	1440 Connecticut Drive
City, State, Zip	Redwood City, CA, 94016
Phone Number	1-877-806-0920 Ext. 312
Principal	Casey Rowe
E-mail Address	crowe@rsed.org
Web Site	www.rsed.org/rrwc
CDS Code	41 69005 0132076

District Contact Information	
District Name	Rocketship Redwood City (RWC)
Phone Number	(650) 423-2230
Superintendent	Dr. John Baker
E-mail Address	jbaker@rcsdk8.net
Web Site	www.rcsd.k12.ca.us

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2016-17)

Rocketship Education is reinventing the elementary school education model.

Rocketship Redwood City Prep is an exceptional elementary school that, in partnership with families and with our community, guides students to discover their own remarkable potential. Rocketeers are tenacious learners, and they thrive in an environment anchored in high expectations, lively academic discourse, and real-world application of academic and social instruction, placing them on a direct path to and through the college of their choice.

The Rocketship model allows each school to implement a wide array of classes and skills and an individualized approach to learning. Rocketship utilizes a robust Response to Intervention program, which allows educators to address the special needs of every student achieving below grade level. As part of its Response to Intervention program Rocketship teachers and leaders focus a significant amount of time, instruction, planning, and professional development in regards to in-class individualized instruction. Through the use of targeted and individualized instruction and interventions, Rocketship teachers are able to meet the needs of specific students and realize the 1.5 years of academic growth that are necessary for them to lay the foundation for college.

While we focus diligently on high quality math, science and literacy instruction, we also believe that all students deserve a well-rounded education. To this end, we offer Art History and Physical Education programming to ensure access to athletics and arts-related programming, and lead weekly community meetings in every classroom to instill our five core values: Respect, Responsibility, Empathy, Bravery and Persistence.

Redwood City Prep not only provides an individualized classroom experience for students, but also creates a community that encourages and focuses on the whole child to prepare our students for short-term and long term success.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2015-16)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	77
Grade 1	28
Grade 2	58
Grade 3	51
Grade 4	17
Grade 5	11
Total Enrollment	242

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.4
American Indian or Alaska Native	1.2
Asian	0
Filipino	0.4
Hispanic or Latino	96.7
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0
White	0
Two or More Races	1.2
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	88.8
English Learners	70.2
Students with Disabilities	6.6
Foster Youth	0.4

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2016-17
With Full Credential	N/A	7	5	5
Without Full Credential	N/A	1	6	6
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	N/A	0	0	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	N/A	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	N/A	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	N/A	0	1

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2015-16)

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100.0	0.0
All Schools in District	97.6	2.4
High-Poverty Schools in District	97.0	3.0
Low-Poverty Schools in District	99.5	0.5

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2016-17)

Year and month in which data were collected: November 2016

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Core Knowledge/2016, Words Their Way/2013, and Lucy Calkins Units of Study/2014	Yes	0%
Mathematics	Singapore Math - Primary Math Common Core Edition/2014	Yes	0%

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Rocketship Redwood City is school operates on the district's Kennedy Middle School campus, within the Redwood City School District. The Facilities Use Agreement offers 13 classrooms, a staff room, and small office space for our support staff, administrative offices, playground, parking lot, recess and physical education area for each campus.

All Rocketship schools are cleaned on a daily basis. Student bathrooms are swept and mopped during school hours and additionally in the evenings. All school areas are cleaned on a daily basis and deep cleaned twice annually. School district is responsible for preventive maintenance of major systems.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month of the most recent FIT report: December 2016				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			No apparent problems
Interior: Interior Surfaces	X			No apparent problems
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation		X		Deep clean planned for winter break.
Electrical: Electrical	X			No apparent problems
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	X			No apparent problems
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			No apparent problems

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month of the most recent FIT report: December 2016				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			No apparent problems
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			Gates and security have been upgraded by the district's Facilities team in Summer 2016.

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: December 2016				
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
			X	

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
English Language Arts/Literacy		28		43		48
Mathematics		30		36		36

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
All Students	3	54	54	100.0	20.4
	4	18	18	100.0	38.9
	5	11	11	100.0	45.5
Male	3	30	30	100.0	16.7
Female	3	24	24	100.0	25.0
	4	11	11	100.0	36.4

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
Hispanic or Latino	3	52	52	100.0	19.2
	4	18	18	100.0	38.9
	5	11	11	100.0	45.5
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	46	46	100.0	21.7
	4	16	16	100.0	43.8
English Learners	3	37	37	100.0	5.4
	4	12	12	100.0	25.0

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
All Students	3	54	54	100.0	31.5
	4	18	18	100.0	27.8
	5	11	11	100.0	27.3
Male	3	30	30	100.0	40.0
Female	3	24	24	100.0	20.8
	4	11	11	100.0	27.3
Hispanic or Latino	3	52	52	100.0	28.9
	4	18	18	100.0	27.8
	5	11	11	100.0	27.3
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	46	46	100.0	34.8
	4	16	16	100.0	31.3
English Learners	3	37	37	100.0	24.3
	4	12	12	100.0	16.7

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)			18			46			54

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group Grades Five, Eight, and Ten (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	# of Students with Valid Scores	% of Students with Valid Scores	% of Students Proficient or Advanced
All Students	11	11	100.0	18.2
Hispanic or Latino	11	11	100.0	18.2

Note: Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Other Pupil Outcomes State Priority (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2015-16)

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	45.5	27.3	9.1

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2016-17)

Rocketship believes that parents are critical participants in the academic success of their student. Classroom teachers conduct at least one home visit in the first semester, two parent conferences, and make formal contacts with each Rocketeer parent twice per month. Additionally, Rocketship holds monthly Community Meetings and/or Parent Coffees with the Principal, which have over 70 percent parent attendance, and conducts multiple family activities throughout the year. Parents also have the opportunity to participate in school governance by running for the School Site council. This allows parents to have a voice in the decision making within each Rocketship school. Rocketship also provides opportunities for parent leadership through harnessing power and civic engagement, allowing parents to be a voice to create more excellent schools and bring about positive changes in their communities. Parent leadership development involves training in community organizing, which includes opportunities for parents to learn about and engage in the political process through building relationships with elected officials, understanding the achievement gap, and executing public actions and forums to advocate on behalf of their schools and communities. Through this significant outreach and community building, Rocketship not only provides an individualized classroom experience for students, but also creates a community that encourages and focuses on parent participation and empowerment as a critical element of a Rocketeer's success.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Suspensions	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	
Expulsions	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	

School Safety Plan (School Year 2016-17)

Rocketship Education has a comprehensive school site safety plan (Health/Safety Plan), last updated August 30th, 2016 and available electronically to all full-time staff via our Box platform. This Plan was created in compliance with state and local requirements and is updated regularly. We also incorporated feedback from external safety experts into this Health/Safety Plan based on school walkthroughs completed in Winter 2014. A copy of this Health/Safety Plan and associated safety documentation is available in the Front Office of every school. Every classroom is equipped with a first aid kit, an evacuation map, and a safety clipboard with condensed versions of our emergency procedures and information on the location of safety equipment and evacuation areas. Campuses are also equipped with personal protective equipment, safety/survival buckets, and spill cleanup equipment.

The Rocketship Health/Safety Plan contains detailed emergency procedures for fire, earthquake, severe weather, lockdowns, car accidents, terrorist attacks, threats against the school, safe facilities, communicable and contagious diseases, accidents and incidents, bloodborne pathogens, medication administration, medical conditions, and other topics related to student, staff, and campus safety. Rocketship also maintains explicit policies on Fingerprinting and Background Checks, Tuberculin Examinations, Safe Facilities, Immunizations and Physical Exams, First Aid and CPR Training, and Health Screening, among other areas. Rocketship creates additional policies and procedures as the need occurs and works diligently to stay in compliance with changes to local, state and federal laws and regulations.

All school staff are trained in safety practices and procedures in the Health/Safety Plan every summer. Fire drills are conducted at school sites on a monthly basis, earthquake drills are conducted four times a year, and lockdown drills are also conducted every year. Safety checklists are regularly reviewed at every school site and safety teams are established at each school site at the beginning of the year. Rocketship's Operations team also conducts audits of each school site to make sure that every school is keeping updated documentation on safety training and practices at the school.

Support staff who handle food are specially trained in food safety and food safety checklists are reviewed regularly in the school servery/cafeteria area.

Employees and contractors of each Rocketship school who are in the presence of our students are required to submit a criminal background check and furnish a criminal record summary. School volunteers who have not been subject to a criminal background check are always under the direct supervision of a credentialed employee, per the requirements of our Volunteer Policy. All visitors are required to sign in and sign out at the Front Office and to wear badges when on campus. The Front Office is monitored by a Rocketship staff during school hours at all times.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCCFF.

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2016-17)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2008-2009
Year in Program Improvement*		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2013-14			2014-15			2015-16					
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K	N/A				N/A				N/A			
1	N/A				N/A				N/A			
2	N/A				N/A				N/A			
3	N/A				N/A				N/A			
4	N/A				N/A				N/A			
5	N/A				N/A				N/A			
6	N/A				N/A				N/A			
Other	N/A				N/A				N/A			

Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2015-16)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0	0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0	N/A
Psychologist	.33	N/A
Social Worker	0	N/A
Nurse	0	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	.33	N/A
Resource Specialist	0	N/A
Other	5	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	
School Site	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
District	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
State	N/A	N/A		
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Rocketship runs a Response to Intervention program. In Tier I, our teachers will regularly differentiate content, convene leveled small groups for targeted instruction, and use individualized online learning programs to address specific student needs. In Tier II, we will provide intensive tutoring in small groups related to math, comprehension, fluency, and phonics. If a student receives Tier II services and continues to need support, as measured by frequent progress monitoring, he or she will move to Tier III, which will include more individualized interventions such as one-on-one tutoring, specific behavior support plans, or modified assignments. We also operate a Learning Lab in which students spend 80 minutes a day on 1:1 computerized instruction with a curriculum that is assigned to each student based on his/her ELA and Silent Sustained Reading using leveled library books and an online assessment system called Accelerated Reader. Students also participate in online learning programs to ensure they are practicing skills at their level.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$46,777	\$44,573
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$71,567	\$72,868
Highest Teacher Salary	\$91,036	\$92,972
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$119,441	\$116,229
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$119,441	\$119,596
Average Principal Salary (High)		\$121,883
Superintendent Salary	\$190,746	\$201,784
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	34%	39%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	7%	5%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

Our approach to professional development is grounded in the following three central components: key levers that lead to successful schools, a highly-targeted implementation protocol, and differentiated sessions/content.

1) Key levers that lead to successful schools

Over the summer immediately preceding the start of each new school year, all instructional staff in our network engage in PD that is focused on the development of specific skills, mindsets, and understandings. These levers are research-based and have shown to function as important factors that strongly influence student achievement. The corresponding sessions are delivered by network staff, school leaders, and external trainers who are experts in a particular content area or curricula (i.e. GLAD, Kimochis & RULER for social-emotional learning, etc.). As the year progresses, we continue to work on these levers but in more individualized ways based on school need as demonstrated by school walkthrough data as well as student achievement data.

2) Targeted implementation protocol

Once teachers have been exposed to and given a chance to practice newly-learned skills, subsequent implementation is supported via different structures. Some of these structures include but are not limited to: weekly one-to-one meetings between the teacher and their coach, real-time coaching in the classroom while the new skill is being practiced, two planning meetings prior to the start of each unit of study, student achievement data analysis meetings, co-planning, co-teaching, & co-observation. Such practices lead to authentic internalization of not only the skill itself, but also an understanding why it is important as well as how it is most effectively employed in the classroom.

3) Differentiated content

Sessions are developed with the varying roles, needs, and skill proficiency levels of instructional staff at top of mind. Example: An upper grade math teacher may attend a session specifically geared towards building skill around how to deliver lessons on fractions with an emphasis on conceptual understanding, in response to the rigorous demands of the CCSS. Furthermore, opt-in sessions are offered for teachers who desire to deepen an existing understanding or strengthen an existing skill; the decision to attend an opt-in session is typically made by teachers in partnership with his or her coach.

2016-2017: 13 days over the summer for returner teachers, 20 days over the summer for new teachers; 14 days during the academic year; weekly PD held on Thursdays when students are released early

2015-2016: 15 days over the summer; 9 days during the academic school year; weekly PD held on Thursdays when students are released early